

# Metaphors of Internet



Steve Jones  
*General Editor*

Vol. 122

---

The Digital Formations series is part of the Peter Lang Media and Communication list.  
Every volume is peer reviewed and meets the highest  
quality standards for content and production.

---



PETER LANG  
New York • Bern • Berlin  
Brussels • Vienna • Oxford • Warsaw

# Metaphors of Internet

Ways of Being in the Age of Ubiquity

Edited by  
Annette N. Markham and  
Katrin Tiidenberg



PETER LANG

New York • Bern • Berlin

Brussels • Vienna • Oxford • Warsaw

### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Markham, Annette N., editor. | Tiidenberg, Katrin, editor.

Title: Metaphors of internet: ways of being in the age of ubiquity /  
edited by Annette N. Markham and Katrin Tiidenberg.

Description: New York: Peter Lang, 2020.

Series: Digital formations, vol. 122 | ISSN 1526-3169

Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2019059859 | ISBN 978-1-4331-7449-0 (hardback: alk. paper)

ISBN 978-1-4331-7450-6 (paperback: alk. paper) | ISBN 978-1-4331-7451-3 (ebook pdf)

ISBN 978-1-4331-7452-0 (epub) | ISBN 978-1-4331-7453-7 (mobi)

Subjects: LCSH: Internet—Social aspects. | Internet—Terminology.

Classification: LCC HM851 .M466 2020 (print) | LCC HM851 (ebook) |

DDC 302.23/1—dc23

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2019059859>

LC ebook record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2019059860>

DOI 10.3726/b16196

Bibliographic information published by **Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek**.

**Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek** lists this publication in the “Deutsche  
Nationalbibliografie”; detailed bibliographic data are available  
on the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de/>.

© 2020 Peter Lang Publishing, Inc., New York  
29 Broadway, 18th floor, New York, NY 10006  
[www.peterlang.com](http://www.peterlang.com)

All rights reserved.

Reprint or reproduction, even partially, in all forms such as microfilm,  
xerography, microfiche, microcard, and offset strictly prohibited.

# Growing Up and Growing Old on the Internet: Influencer Life Courses and the Internet as Home

CRYSTAL ABIDIN

---

As an anthropologist, I frequently travel to different cities to conduct fieldwork alone. After years of being perpetually on the road, all cities start to appear as mere permutations and combinations of pedestrian walkways, vehicular roads and railway networks, instigating in me bouts of disorientation and anomie. Between teasing out train maps and currencies, while retreating alone to yet another bedroom on yet another night, I go to the internet. I go to *my* internet. In there, I know the spaces and places and buttons and plumbing like the back of my hand. As the only constant experience in my life regardless of my geographical perplexity and inter-cultural fatigue, my internet is often my only continuous companion, my most trusted guide, and my home.

In a similar vein, many of the first-generation Influencers, whom I have been studying since the mid-2000s, often nostalgically refer to various spaces on the internet as their home, or sometimes a precious storage room. These pioneer batch of Influencers first debuted on blogs hosted on OpenDiary, LiveJournal, Diaryland, Blogger, tumblr, and WordPress that were popular in Southeast Asia, and subsequently moved on to more popular social media, but particularly Instagram and YouTube. Newer, shiner social media apps emerge every few months and the blogs where many Influencers first embarked on their now decade-long careers have been dwindling in audienceship, are dying out, or are being deleted en masse as companies go under. Yet, the few commercial lifestyle blogs (Abidin, 2013) that are still kept afloat hold special significance and productive purposes for first-generation Influencers. I see this in the romanticized tributes the Influencers pay to the sacred spaces of their usually-neglected blogs.

In this chapter, I consider how three pioneer-batch Influencers in Singapore regularly return to their old blogs to make sense of their relationship with the internet and of their prolific careers. Drawing on classic sociological theory on placeness such as ‘matter out of place’ (Douglas, 1966), ‘place and space’ (Tuan, 1979, 2001), and ‘props and stages’ (Goffman, 1956), this ethnographic essay reflects on the felt physicality and emotional materiality of the internet as a place (Markham, 1998, 2003). I argue that against the backdrop of the rapidly changing social media landscape, Harriet, Alexis, and Timothy experience and describe their old blogs as a home. The metaphor of home highlights how these Influencers experience their blogs as a grounding sanctuary where they feel safe to housekeep the less glamorous aspects of their careers, as an archival checkpoint to which they return to evaluate their histories and their progress, and as a panoptic room in which they have to be accountable to their earliest readers as family. My analysis adds nuance and a recent example to a significant body of work exploring how people consider and build home through such contexts as game environments (e.g., Nardi, 2009; Sunden, 2003), avatar based virtual worlds (e.g., Boellstorff, 2008); interactions with others in text spaces like chatrooms (e.g., Markham, 1998); in fan communities (e.g., Baym, 2000), and through blogging (e.g., Hodkinson & Lincoln, 2008).

## **APPROACHING USUALLY-NEGLECTED BLOG SPACES AS HOME**

Harriet’s, Timothy’s and Alexis’ stories are adapted from my larger study of internet celebrity culture in Singapore between 2010 and 2018. The incidents referenced here are taken from fieldnotes compiled through participant observation and digital ethnography on these Influencers’ digital estates, and coded through a constructivist grounded theory-guided (Charmez, 2006) analysis. The larger anthropological project of long-term immersion follows the informants through digital spaces (Postill & Pink, 2012). I focus on the socio-cultural rhythms of what is considered normative or subversive in the Influencer industry at a particular moment in time, and the progression of Influencers’ socio-political identity-making projects between and across older and newer social media platforms.

In the stories below, Influencers explicitly or implicitly refer to their usually-neglected blogs as ‘home’. These feelings of leaving and returning to a blog are situated against the backdrop of the continuous emergence of newer social media platforms, each demanding that Influencers upgrade their skills, adapt to new digital environments, and learn to connect with new followers while retaining the congruence of their self-brand. Akin to Annette Markham’s spatial metaphors conceptualizing the internet as a place (2003), for the Influencers studied, their blogs are a familiar site where they can: “wande[r]” through old archives and

nostalgically review the growth of their careers while long-time followers watch over their progress at the origin site; “navigat[e]” through digital artifacts to curate a personal front to newer followers on newer social media as evidence that they were established icons in the age of commercial blogs; and “explor[e]” the public sharing of vulnerable feelings to reinstate follower affection and loyalty (Markham, 2003, p. 7). In the next three sections, I trace how Alexis, Timothy, and Harriet wander ‘home’ to their blogs to meet with loyal followers, navigate ‘old props’ in these spaces to curate different strategic fronts, and explore safe ways to ‘take out the trash’ as a cleansing ritual.

## COMING HOME

Like many veteran Influencers who first debuted as commercial lifestyle bloggers, Harriet has all but left her blog for the more enticing and lucrative image-based platforms like Instagram and YouTube. However, she occasionally returns to her blog as a sanctuary away from these what she experiences to be highly competitive and aggressive new social media spaces.

In a series of blogposts between 2015 and 2018, each earmarking a moment of personal difficulty linked to an industry scandal or a romantic breakup, Harriet refers to her blog as the place in which she feels “the most comfortable.” This is despite the fact that she primarily earns her income on Instagram, where she boasts over 160k followers, that she is a frequent guest star on several popular YouTube channels in the country, and that she also manages at least another half a dozen accounts on newer social media platforms.

I interpret Harriet’s extended activity on other social media before her transient homecoming to her blog akin to how human geographer Yi-Fu Tuan (1979) analyzes returning home after traveling: “An argument in favour of travel is that it increases awareness, not of exotic places but of home as a place. To identify wholly with the ambiance of a place is to lose the sense of its unique identity, which is revealed only when one can also see it from the outside” (p. 411). In this way, the salience of Harriet’s usually neglected blog as a special place that feels like home is established through the juxtaposition of her experience when she is ‘away.’ In this analysis, it is this nostalgia arising from having left her blog for the more lucrative social media platforms that continually reframes Harriet’s return as romanticized comfort.

Acknowledging the remaining audience of her scanty and irregular blogposts as her “very first readers” and in all likelihood the most “loyal” of the lot, she thanks them for “watching over” her as she has grown up and “matured” from an adolescent into an adult before their eyes. This familiarity that she feels these readers share with her is crucial for allowing Harriet to discursively housekeep her

emotional struggles on her blog, much more transparently than she would on her highly prolific Instagram account. And this is because, according to Harriet, her loyal long-term blog readers understand the social norms of her blog where transparency is valued, judgement is withheld, and catharsis is the intention. Just like at home, with close friends and family, there is an assumption of shared tacit norms, loyalty, and positive predisposition.

The feelings of comfort and safety and the values of openness and trust fostered in Harriet's blogspace are co-constructed between herself and her long-time readers. The blog is differentiated from her other social media spaces that are less imbued with value (Tuan, 2001, p. 6), and maintained as such because the group continuously assigns it "greater emotional charge" (Tuan, 1979, p. 409). For instance, her followers' comments demonstrate a general assumption that the content on Harriet's various social media are constructed and curated to some degree as this is "her job," but they simultaneously maintain that her blog is the one place where she is more "real," more "genuine," and more "sincere," because of the disproportionate vulnerability she displays there. This ongoing social contract between Harriet and her longtime readers on her usually-neglected blog can be described as almost sacred, as Harriet says she feels "safe" enough there to share even her deeper, darker thoughts around depression and anxiety; something she would never address on her pristine Instagram account.

But there have been instances where Harriet's confessionals on her usually-neglected blog circulate widely across social media platforms, going viral among different audiences, and soliciting unwelcome guests at the doorstep of her 'home'. Comment wars unfold as visitors from her other social media platforms leave remarks doubting the veracity of her emotional breakdowns or the authenticity of her Influencer persona. "Are you acting depressed just to attract attention?" quips one commenter. "Yeah right, you look pretty happy in your last Instagram post though," ventures another. Without the tacit knowledge of her usually-neglected blog as a home, these visitors are unable to understand why Harriet's self-presentation there may differ from that on other platforms, but still be read as coherent and authentic by her long-term followers.

Using Tuan's discussions of the sense of place (1979), I suggest it is in these moments that the inhabitants of the 'home'—the longtime blog readers—"demonstrate their sense of place" on Harriet's blog by reaffirming their "moral and aesthetic discernment" in governing and maintaining the preciousness of this space (Tuan, 1979, p. 410). Through counter-comments and pushback in long threads, supporters emerge in defense of Harriet and her blog as a site for vulnerable self-expression. They mark off her blog as a place to be "defended against intruders" (Tuan, 2001, p. 4), against those who do not exhibit the felt values of the inhabitants who live there. In so doing they re-establish themselves as what Anderson (1991) would describe as a self-selected "imagined community" who virtually share

the place as the ‘home’ of the “real” Harriet to whom only those who still linger on her usually-neglected blog are privy.

## REARRANGING THE STORAGE ROOM

For other veteran Influencers who have progressed on to social media platforms, transient returns to their usually-neglected blogs serve as journeys back into the storage room where they hold the things they once used when they first made public their personal politics and values upon embarking in the industry. In my analysis of their actions and statements, they revisit old blogposts as an archivist would, reacquainting themselves with facets of their Influencer persona they have previously made public to reevaluate the coherence of their narrative.

Alexis was among the first bloggers in Singapore to receive monetary incentives for her blogposts through embedded advertising links and sponsored advertorials. Although she still maintains several other blogs on different platforms—alongside the Influencer staples such as Twitter, Facebook, Instagram, YouTube, and Snapchat—her original blog has not been updated in years. However, Alexis is fond of redirecting followers across her social media to old blogposts dating back as far as ten years to reference her long-standing ethics or stance on an assortment of issues.

Although she no longer monetizes her blog content as extensively as she used to, Alexis still uses her old blog to cultivate and strengthen her present-day Influencer persona. This is especially crucial given that her original Influencer self-brand was premised on being ‘controversial’, or her ability to generate scandal and channel attention towards herself.<sup>1</sup>

Specifically, whenever Alexis ‘bandwagons’ on or appropriates trending Twitter or Instagram hashtags to post outrageous content, and gets called out for being “just a troll” or an “opportunist” harvesting “clickbait,” she barrages accusers with old blog links to underscore that being disagreeable and argumentative is her long-standing personality rather than a spur-of-the-moment whim. For instance, when same-sex marriage was legalized in the USA in June 2015, Alexis attention-jacked the prolific celebratory hashtags on Twitter and Instagram to repeatedly convey her disdain towards such unions. When American social media users who were surveying the hashtags called her out for “attention whoring,” Alexis responded with links to old blog and social media posts in which she had expressed similar anti-same-sex marriage sentiment earlier. Yet, based on the June 2015 incident above, it is unclear if Alexis genuinely opposes marriage equality, or if it was staged

1 Building on the work by James B. Twitchell (1997) on “shamelebrity”, I have elsewhere discussed similar negative-attention generation strategies as the commodification of shame and displays of weaponized shame (Abidin, 2016).

for self-publicity. Later on, in June 2016, Alexis uploaded several selfies on Facebook and Instagram depicting her attendance (and general revelries) at Singapore's annual pro-LGBT event Pink Dot SG. Her posts even included same-sex affirming hashtags such as “#isupportthefreedomtolove” and “#loveislove.”

Using sociologist Erving Goffman's metaphor of the theatre, I analyze how Alexis positions her old blog as the storage room, from where she picks the digital artifacts—dated selfies, photographs, artworks, text posts, backlinks, and comments from readers—to selectively piece together what Goffman would call “background items” to “supply the scenery and stage props” for her next discursive assault (Goffman, 1956, p. 143). Alexis is a “circumspect performer” who is able to “adjust [her] presentation according to the character of the props and tasks out of which [she] must build [her] performance” (Goffman, 1956, p. 143). Depending on the material she decides to bring to the foreground and piece together, Alexis skillfully rearranges her existing props to stage different persuasions or appearances. Hence, like the performer on Goffman's theatrical stage, Alexis is unable to “begin” her “act” until she has curated an appropriate and congruent stage on which she is able to perform (1956, p. 13). Or, coming back to the central metaphor of home, Alexis continually modifies and modulates the content and tone of her posts across social media, posting contradictory viewpoints on different platforms, which she is later able to reconcile and reorganize to present specific (and potentially contradictory) narratives, akin to redecorating her home depending on the type of visitors who are on the way for a visit.

## TAKING OUT THE TRASH

Usually-neglected blogs also surface when Influencers return to them as confessional spaces to tell ‘their side of the story’, especially when the text spaces of Instagram captions and comments and YouTube comment threads can be easily overrun by animosity from haters and (paid) trolls and bots.

Timothy has found himself embroiled in a string of Influencer scandals in his decade-long career. In one incident, rival Influencers sullied his professional reputation; in another incident, antagonistic followers were aggressively questioning his sexuality on internet forums; and in yet another incident, he was subjected to viral hate when it was revealed that he had undergone cosmetic surgery. In each of these instances, Timothy returned to his blog to address these accusations, repair his image, and reinstall follower loyalty in a manner that resembles anthropologist Mary Douglas' retreatment of dirt as “matter out of place” (1966, p. 36).

Douglas asserts that there exists “no such thing as absolute dirt” (1966, p. 2) but that dirt is merely matter that has fallen out of order and requires reorganization to be put back in place. In other words, the presence of dirt signifies that

there is a normative “system” of ordering at work, and dirt is the “by-product of a systematic ordering and classification of matter, in so far as ordering involves rejecting inappropriate elements” (1966, p. 36). In an act of reinstating order, dirt has to be identified as transgressive, demarcated from other matter, then purified before being returned to the mass of matter (1966, p. 4).

Following this metaphor, I analyze Influencer scandals as the dirt that needs to be attended to and reordered, in order for the system to return to an equilibrium where only selective aspects of Timothy’s life are on display, while others are kept away from the public eye. To reverse the backlash of unexpected exposé, Timothy engages in what could be described as housekeeping, by returning to his usually-neglected blog to post a confessional addressing the scandals, expounding on his feelings, and announcing his corresponding reactions. All of this mirrors the practice of sorting dirt back into its place.

Referring to the remaining readers of his blog as “long term followers” who know the “old” him, Timothy usually begins these reparation narratives by stating that he “owes” them an explanation, thus acknowledging their investment in his side of the story and their potential value in assisting in his reparation. He then addresses each accusation in detail, refuting some aspects with utmost insistence while apologetically admitting to others.

For instance, in one scandal, Timothy was called out for artificially inflating his statistics when he paid to use Instagram’s ‘Promote’ feature to amplify the reach of a client-sponsored post. Timothy insists that he was not cheating as he had disclosed that the post was a paid ad and that there were no formal regulations against using his own money to “promote” the post through Instagram’s proper channels as an added bonus for his client. But he also issued apologies for having to make this effort to secure the publicity of these recent posts, because he had not been updating his Instagram feed as regularly as needed with non-sponsored content to reel in followers more organically. Through these nuanced negotiations and boundary setting, Timothy seems to finesort his dirt, employing what Douglas refers to as “ideas about separating, purifying, demarcating and punishing transgressions” to “exaggerat[e] the difference” between practices that are “with and against” the order of things (1966, p. 4).

This practice of taking out the trash also demonstrates the networked nature of Timothy’s social media persona. During these scandals, he would usually maintain a calm composure on his primary platform, Instagram, where he laboriously produces selfies that are conscientiously improved with photo-editing software and carefully curated for his highly stylized feed. While he may occasionally express some dissent and retort against accusations via the space of his Instagram captions, Timothy always frames these discourses in cryptic layers of code-switching such that his intended message may not always have been evident to the average follower. This “Insta-vagueing”—where often sharp messages are encoded in

Hallmark-esque ambiguous quotes and obscured quips whose double meaning would be lost on innocent audience members—makes it such that only those ‘in the know’ who possess the skills of social steganography (boyd, 2014) are able to cross-reference the hidden meanings against the networked capillaries of gossip, rumor, lore, and scandal among Influencers and dedicated followers. However, on his blog where he commits to self-reparation, followers are drawn in via long, thoughtful personal confessions and may be more likely to linger and empathize with him. This atmosphere of Timothy’s blog again resembles that of a ‘home’ where inhabitants feel welcome and invited to linger, to exchange intimacies and exhibit more genuine layers of the self in a place that fosters these interactions.

## GROWING UP AND GROWING OLD ON THE INTERNET

“Conceptualized as a space, the Internet develops architectures, boundaries, and multiple entry and exit points. Conceptualized as a place, the Internet comprises a socio-cultural milieu,” writes Markham (2003, p. 7). Veteran Influencers who comfortably, nostalgically, safely retreat back to their usually-neglected blogs from their journeys onto other social media spaces exemplify this when they instinctively venture back ‘home’ to unwind, reaffirm themselves, or housekeep. Re-entering the blogs brings with it normative values, rules, expectations, and audiences to whom they are able to exhibit different facets of themselves. Considering the earlier and older technical affordances of blogs, this journey home also signifies a ‘trip down memory lane’ into a simpler time where Influencer commerce was less developed, less political, and more intimate and social. It is at ‘home’ in their blogs that they review and realign their values among the smaller and safer sociality of long-time readers, hone their skills and steel themselves before inevitably traveling to other spaces on the internet.

My experience of growing up on the internet is not too different from the journeys of Harriet, Alexis, and Timothy. Like Harriet who closes the door to different rooms in her home, as a compulsive cataloguer and curator of content, each of the twelve tumblr blogs that I run speak to different followers and mutuals who know me from ‘way back’ and chime in with forms of emotional support, even if these temporal and place-based notions of history differ across each blog. Like Alexis who rearranges the props in her home, as an academic who is active on social media, the types of data I choose to display across my professional and personal portfolios paint me in a different light with different personae. Like Timothy who takes out the trash during his housekeeping, as a highly empathetic person who has blogged extensively to cope with grief and loss, sorting out the grub and dirt in my emotional wellbeing allows me to assign bad feelings and thoughts into

the right spots, their safe spaces, in order that I may be able to function properly in my daily life.

Yet in these moments of growing up on the internet and learning to be intimate with these places, in these places, and for these places, there are simultaneously these other newer and more foreign places on the internet that will always be incomprehensible to me. The feelings of belonging and attachment I feel to some places on the internet come with maturity—from growing up in them, just as the feelings of longing and loss I feel towards their impending deletion and obscurity come with age—from growing old in them. I can only hope that as I continue to grow old on the internet, the places that are dear to me will be conscientiously archived before their impending deletion, providing me with one map and guide of the spots that were once my home.

# References

---

- Abidin, C. (2013). Cyber-BFFs: Assessing women's 'perceived interconnectedness' in Singapore's commercial lifestyle blog industry. *Global Media Journal Australian Edition*, 7(1). Retrieved from <http://www.hca.westernsydney.edu.au/gmjau/?p=217>
- Abidin, C. (2016). *Sorry not sorry: Influencers, shamelebrity, and para-apologetic transgressions*. Selected papers of Internet research 17: The 17th Annual Meeting of the Association of Internet Researchers. Retrieved from <https://spir.aoir.org/index.php/spir/article/view/1301/pdf>
- Abramov, R. N. (2017a). Sovetskie tehnokraticheskie mifologii kak forma «teorii upushhennogo shansa»: na primere istorii kibernetiki v SSSR [Soviet technocratic mythologies as a form of the lost-chance theory: The example of the history of cybernetics in the USSR]. *Sociologija Nauki I Tehnologij*, 2, 61–78.
- Abramov, R. N. (2017b). Sovetskaja inzhenerno-tehnicheskaja intelligencija 1960–80-h gg.: v poiske granic kolektivnogo soznaniya [The Soviet engineering and technical intelligentsia of the 1960–80s: In a search of the boundaries of collective consciousness]. *Vestnik Instituta Sociologii*, 20, 114–130.
- Adegoju, A., & Oyebode, O. (2015). Humour as discursive practice in Nigeria's 2015 presidential election online campaign discourse. *Discourse Studies*, 17(6), 643–662.
- Al Zidjaly, N. (2017). Memes as reasonably hostile laments: A discourse analysis of political dissent in Oman. *Discourse & Society*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0957926517721083>
- Alcoff, L. (2006). *Visible identities: Race, gender, and the self*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Amatriain, X. (2013). Mining large streams of user data for personalized recommendations. *ACM SIGKDD Explorations Newsletter*, 14(2), 37–48. doi:10.1145/2481244.2481250
- Anderson, B. (1991). *Imagined communities: Reflections on the origin and spread of nationalism*. London, UK: Verso.

- Antliff, A. (2012). *Anarchist pedagogies: Collective actions, theories, and critical reflections on education*. PM Press.
- Appadurai, A. (1996). *Modernity at large: Cultural dimensions of globalization*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Arkhipov, V. (n.d.). The Other Things Museum [Online project and a series of exhibitions]. Retrieved from URL <http://otherthingsmuseum.com>
- Arnold, D., & Bongiovi, J. R. (2011). Literature Review, Draft. Precarious, informalizing and casualizing labor: Concepts and definitions [PDF file]. A paper prepared for the workshop *Precarious Work in Asia*, Chung-Ang University, Seoul, South Korea, July 19–20, 2011 University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. Retrieved from [http://sawyerseminar.web.unc.edu/files/2011/02/Precarious-labor\\_Literature-Review\\_Arnold-and-Bongiovi.pdf](http://sawyerseminar.web.unc.edu/files/2011/02/Precarious-labor_Literature-Review_Arnold-and-Bongiovi.pdf)
- Avdikos, V., & Kalogerisis, A. (2016). Socio-economic profile and working conditions of freelancers in co-working spaces and work collectives: Evidence from the design sector in Greece. *Area*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/area.12279>
- Badger, M. (2004). Visual blogs. In L. Gurak, S. Antonijevic, L. Johnson, C. Ratliff, & J. Reyman (Eds.), *into the blogosphere: Rhetoric, community, and culture of weblogs*. University of Minnesota. Retrieved from: [http://blog.lib.umn.edu/blogosphere/visual\\_blogs.html](http://blog.lib.umn.edu/blogosphere/visual_blogs.html)
- Baker, A. J. (2014). *You get what you need: Stories of fans of the rolling stones*. McLean, VA: Miniver Press.
- Bakhtin, M. M. (1935 [1981]). Discourse in the novel. In M. Holquist (Ed.), *The dialogic imagination: Four essays* (pp. 259–422). Austin: University of Texas Press.
- Bakhtin, M. M. (1970). *Speech genres and other late essays* (M. Holquist & C. Emerson, Eds.). Austin: University of Texas Press.
- Bakhtin, M. M. (1981). *Dialogic imagination: Four essays*. Austin: University of Texas Press.
- Banks, I. (1994). *Freesum endjinn*. London, UK: Orbit Publications
- Barlow, J. P. (1996, February 8). *A declaration of the Independence of cyberspace*. Retrieved from <https://projects.eff.org/~barlow/Declaration-Final.html>
- Barthes, R. (1977). *Image, music, text*. New York, NY: Hill and Wang.
- Barthes, R. (1981). *Camera Lucida*. New York, NY: Hill and Wang.
- Bateson, G. (1972). *Steps to an ecology of mind: Collected essays in anthropology, psychiatry, evolution, and epistemology*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Baym, N. K. (2000). *Tune in, log on: Soaps, fandom and online community*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Baym, N. K. (2015). *Personal connections in the digital age* (2nd ed.). Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Be a New Orleanian. Wherever you are. *Dirty Coast Press*. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://dirtycoast.com/products/be-a-new-orleanian-wherever-you-are-navy-1090>
- Bechmann, A., & Lomborg, S. (2014). *The ubiquitous Internet, user and industry perspectives*. Routledge: New York.
- Bell, J., Bailey, L., & Kennedy, D. (2015). 'We do it to keep him alive': Bereaved individuals' experiences of online suicide memorials and continuing bonds. *Mortality (Abingdon, UK)*, 20(4), 375–389. doi:10.1080/13576275.2015.1083693
- Berger, J., 1972. *Ways of seeing*. London, UK: BBC and Penguin Books.
- Bezemer, J. (2012). What is multimodality? [webpage]. UCL Institute of Education. Retrieved from <https://mode.ioe.ac.uk/2012/02/16/what-is-multimodality/>
- Blanco, M. D. P., & Peeren, E. (2013). The ghost in the machine: Spectral media. In M. D. P. Blanco & E. Peeren (Eds.), *The spectralities reader: Ghosts and haunting in contemporary cultural theory* (pp. 199–206). Bloomsbury.

- Boellstorff, T. (2008). *Coming of age in second life: An anthropologist explores the virtually human*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Boler, M. (2007). Hypes, hopes and actualities: New digital Cartesianism and bodies in cyberspace. *New Media & Society*, 9(1), 139–168. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444807067586>
- Boomen, M. van den (2014). *Transcoding the digital: How metaphors matter in new Media*. Amsterdam: Institute of Network Cultures.
- Bourdieu, Pierre. 1990 [1965]. *Photography: A middle-brow art*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- boyd, d. (2005, June 22–26). *Broken metaphors: Blogging as liminal practice*. Paper presented at Media Ecology Association conference (MEA 2005), New York, NY.
- boyd, d. (2011). Social network sites as networked publics: Affordances, dynamics, and implications. In Z. Papacharissi (Ed.), *Networked self: Identity, community, and culture on social network sites* (pp. 39–58).
- boyd, d. (2014). *It's complicated: The social lives of networked teens*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- boyd, d., Golder, S., & Lotan, G. (2010). Tweet, tweet, retweet: Conversational aspects of retweeting on Twitter. In *Proceedings of HICSS-42, persistent conversation track*. Kauai, HI: IEEE Computer Society. Retrieved from <http://www.danah.org/papers/TweetTweetRetweet.pdf>
- Braybrooke, K., & Jordan, T. (2017). Genealogy, culture and technomyth. *Digital Culture & Society*, 3(1), 25–46. doi:10.14361/dcs-2017-0103
- Browne, K. (2006). 'A right geezer-bird' (man-woman): The sites and sights of 'female' embodiment. *ACME: An International E-Journal for Critical Geographies*, 5(2), 121–143.
- Browne, K., Lim, J., & Brown, G. (Eds.). (2007). *Geographies of sexualities: Theory, practices and politics*. Aldershot, Hampshire: Ashgate Publishing.
- Bruns, A. (2019). *Are filter bubbles real?* Polity.
- Brunvand, J. H. (1981). *The vanishing hitchhiker: Urban legends and their meanings*. New York, NY: W.W. Norton.
- Bucher, T., & Helmond, A. (2017). The affordances of social media platforms. In J. Burgess, A. Marwick, & T. Poell (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of social media* (pp. 223–253). London, UK: Sage.
- Burgess, J. (2006). Hearing ordinary voices: Cultural studies, vernacular creativity and digital storytelling. *Continuum: Journal of Media & Cultural Studies*, 20(2), 201–214.
- Burrell, J. (2012). *Invisible users: Youth in the Internet cafés of urban Ghana*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- burrough, X. (2016). *A vigil for some bodies*. <http://missconceptions.net/vigil/>
- Butler, J. P. (1990). *Gender trouble: Feminism and the subversion of identity*. London, UK: Routledge.
- Cadwalladr, C. (2016, December 4). Google, democracy and the truth about internet search. *The Guardian*. Retrieved from <https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2016/dec/04/google-democracy-truth-internet-search-facebook>
- Carmichael, K., & Dajko, N. (2016). Ain't Dere no more: New Orleans language and local nostalgia in Vic & Nat'ly comics. *Journal of Linguistic Anthropology*, 26, 234–258.
- Carroll, N. (2001). Modernity and the plasticity of perception. *Journal of Aesthetics and Art Criticism*, 59(1), 11–17
- Chalfen, R. (1987). *Snapshot versions of life*. Bowling Green, OH: Bowling Green State University Popular Press.
- Charmez, K. (2006). *Constructing grounded theory*. London, UK: Sage.
- Chayko, M. (2017). *Superconnected: The Internet, digital Media, and techno-social life*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

- Cheney-Lippold, J. (2017). *We are data: Algorithms and the making of our digital selves*. New York: New York University.
- Christensen, D. R., & Sandvik, K. (2015). Death ends a life not a relationship: timework and ritualizations at Mindet.dk. *New Review of Hypermedia and Multimedia*, 21(1–2), 57–71. doi:10.1080/13614568.2014.983561
- Chun, W. H. K. (2006). *Control and freedom: Power and paranoia in the age of fiber optics*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Collister, S. (2014). Abstract hacktivism as a model for postanarchist organizing. *Ephemera*, 14(4), 765–779.
- Courtois, A., & O’Keefe, T. (2015). Precarity in the ivory cage: Neoliberalism and causalisation of work in the Irish higher education sector. *Journal for Critical Education Policy Studies*, 13(1), 43–66.
- Crawford, K., & Gillespie, T. (2016). What is a flag for? Social media reporting tools and the vocabulary of complaint. *New Media & Society*, 18(3), 410–428. 10.1177/1461444814543163
- Cresswell, T. (2006). *On the move*. London, UK: Routledge.
- Cresswell, T. (2014). Friction. In P. Adey, D. Bissell, K. Hannam, P. Merriman, & M. Sheller (Eds.), *The Routledge handbook of mobilities* (pp. 107–115). Oxon: Routledge.
- Dahlberg, L., & Siapera, E. (Eds.). (2007). *Radical democracy and the Internet: Interrogating theory and practice*. Springer.
- Dash, A. (2012a, December 13). The web we lost. Retrieved October 7, 2017, from <http://anildash.com/2012/12/the-web-we-lost.html>
- Dash, A. (2012b, December 18). Rebuilding the web we lost. Retrieved October 8, 2017, from <http://anildash.com/2012/12/rebuilding-the-web-we-lost.html>
- Dash, A. (2013, April 24). How we lost the web. Retrieved October 7, 2017, from <http://anildash.com/2013/04/harvard.html>
- Dashkova, T. (2002). “Ideologija v licah. Formirovanie vizual’nogo kanona v sovetskih zhurnalakh 1920-h–1930-h godov” [Ideology in faces. Formation of the visual canon in Soviet journals of the 1920s–1930s]. *Kul’tura i vlast’ v uslovijah kommunikacionnoj revoljucii XX veka*. Pod red. K. Ajmermajhera, G. Bordjugova i I. Grabovskogo. Moskva: AIRO-XX.
- Dawkins, R. (1976). *The selfish gene*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- De Certeau, M. (1984). *The practice of everyday life*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Degot’ E. (2000). Ot tovara k tovarischu. *Logos* (5–6). Retrieved from [http://www.ruthenia.ru/logos/number/2000\\_5\\_6/2000\\_5-6\\_04.htm](http://www.ruthenia.ru/logos/number/2000_5_6/2000_5-6_04.htm)
- Derrida, J. (1998). *Archive fever: A Freudian impression*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Derrida, J., & Stiegler, B. (2002). *Echographies of television. Filmed interviews*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Deuze, M. (2011). Medial life. *Media, Culture & Society*, 33(1), 137–148.
- Deuze, M., Blank, P., & Speers, L. (2012). A life lived in media. *Digital Humanities Quarterly*, 6(1).
- Dibbell, J. (1998). *My tiny life: Crime and passion in a virtual world*. New York, NY: Holt.
- Doan, P. L. (2010). The tyranny of gendered spaces—Reflections from beyond the gender dichotomy. *Gender, Place & Culture*, 17(5), 635–654. doi:10.1080/0966369x.2010.503121
- Dodge, M., & Kitchin, R. (2000). *Atlas of cyberspace*. London, UK: Routledge.
- Douglas, M. (1966). *Purity and danger: An analysis of the concepts of pollution and taboo*. London, UK and New York, NY: Routledge.
- Dr. Seuss (1961). *The sneetches and other stories*. New York, NY: Random House.
- Druick, Z. (1995). The information superhighway, or the politics of a metaphor. *Bad Subjects*, 18. Retrieved from <http://bad.eserver.org/issues/1995/18/druick.html>

- Duguay, S. (2017). Identity modulation in networked publics: Queer women's participation and representation on Tinder, Instagram and Vine (PhD dissertation). Queensland University of Technology. Available online: <https://eprints.qut.edu.au/111892/>
- Dundes, A. (1987). *Cracking jokes: Studies in sick humor cycles and stereotypes*. Berkeley, CA: Ten Speed Press.
- Dzieza, J. (2014, August 20). A history of metaphors for the Internet. *The Verge*. Retrieved from <https://www.theverge.com/2014/8/20/6046003/a-history-of-metaphors-for-the-internet>
- Edsall, T. (2017, March 2). Democracy, disrupted. *The New York Times*. Retrieved from <https://www.nytimes.com/2017/03/02/opinion/how-the-internet-threatens-democracy.html>
- Ellis, C., Bochner, A. P. (2000): Autoethnography, personal narrative, and reflexivity: Researcher as subject. In N. K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Handbook of qualitative research* (pp. 733–768). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Evans, S. K., Pearce, K. E., Vitak, J., & Treem, J. W. (2017). Explicating affordances: A conceptual framework for understanding affordances in communication research. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 22, 35–52.
- Farman, J. (2012). *Mobile interface theory: Embodied space and locative media*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Faucher, K. X. (2014). Alienation and precarious contract academic staff in the age of neoliberalism. *Confero*, 2(1), 35–71.
- Feenberg, A. (1999). *Questioning technology*. London, UK and New York, NY: Sage.
- Felstead, A., Jewson, N., & Walters, S. (2005). *Changing places of work*. New York, NY: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Ferdinand, P. (2000). The Internet, democracy and democratization. *Democratization*, 7(1), 1–17.
- Ferguson, K. (2015). Everything is a Remix. Retrieved from <https://vimeo.com/139094998>
- Flecker, J., & Schönhauer, A. (2016). The production of 'placelessness': Digital service work in global value chains. In J. Flecker (Ed.), *Space, place and global digital work*. London, UK: Palgrave Macmillan. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-48087-3>
- Folbre, N. (2013). The unregulated work of mechanical Turk. *New York Times*, March 18, 2003. Retrieved September 10, from <https://economix.blogs.nytimes.com/2013/03/18/the-unregulated-work-of-mechanical-turk>
- Foucault, M. (1984). Des espaces autres (conférence au Cercle d'études architecturales, 14 mars 1967). *Architecture, Mouvement, Continuité*, 5, 46–49.
- Founders Fund. (2011, August 9). Retrieved August 12, 2019, from <http://web.archive.org/web/20110809183001/http://wwwFOUNDERSFUND.com/>
- Freelon, D. G. (2010). Analyzing online political discussion using three models of democratic communication. *New Media & Society*, 12(7), 1172–1190.
- Frishcman, B. (2018). The misleading power of Internet metaphors. *Scientific American*. Retrieved from <https://blogs.scientificamerican.com/observations/the-misleading-power-of-internet-metaphors/>
- Frosh, P. (2017, October 30). *Vital signs: Screenshots, social media and existential delegation. Presentation at Precarious Media Life: Digital Existence II*. Sigtuna Foundation.
- Fuller, M. (2005). *Media ecologies: Materialist energies in Art and technoculture*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Gandini, A. (2016). *The reputation economy* [e-book]. Cambridge: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Garrett, L. E., Spreitzer, G. M., & Bacevice, P. A. (2017). Co-constructing a sense of community at work: The emergence of community in coworking spaces. *Organization Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0170840616685354>

- Gaver, W. (1996). Situating action II: Affordances for interaction: The social is material for design. *Ecological Psychology*, 8, 2.
- Gerasimova, E., & Chujkina, S. (2004). Obshchestvo remonta [Society of repair]. *Neprikosnovennyj Zapas*, 34(2).
- Gerbaudo, P. (2015). Populism 2.0: Social media activism, the generic Internet user and interactive direct democracy. In D. Trottier & C. Fuchs (Eds.), *Social media, politics and the state: Protests, revolutions, riots, crime and policing in the age of Facebook, Twitter and YouTube* (pp. 67–87). New York, NY and London, UK: Routledge.
- Gergen, K. J. (2009). *Relational being: Beyond self and community*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Gershon, I. (2010). Breaking up is hard to do: Media switching and media ideologies. *Linguistic Anthropology*, 20(2), 389–405. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1548-1395.2010.01076.x>
- Ghose, T. (2017, January 30). Hurricane season: Here's what to expect. *LiveScience*. Retrieved from <http://www.livescience.com/57671-hurricane-season.html>
- Gibney, B. (2011). *What happened to the future?* Retrieved from <http://web.archive.org/web/20170413234322/http://foundersfund.com/the-future/#>
- Gibson, J. J. (1979). *The ecological approach to visual perception* (1st ed.). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum, Lawrence Associates.
- Gibson, W. (1984). *Neuromancer*. New York, NY: Ace.
- Gillespie, T. (2010). The politics of “platforms.” *New Media & Society*, 12(3), 347–364. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444809342738>
- Gillespie, T. (2014). The relevance of algorithms. In T. Gillespie, P. J. Boczkowski, K. A. Foot, L. A. Lievrouw, & I. Siles (Eds.), *Media technologies: Essays on communication, materiality, and society*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Gillespie, T. (2017). The platform metaphor, revisited. *Digital Society Blog*. Retrieved August 30, from <https://www.hiig.de/en/the-platform-metaphor-revisited/>
- Goffman, E. (1956). *The presentation of self in everyday life*. Edinburgh: University of Edinburgh Social Sciences Research Centre.
- Goffman, E. (1963). *Behavior in public places: Notes on the social organization of gatherings*. New York, NY: Free Press of Glencoe.
- Goffman, E. (1974). *Frame analysis*. New York, NY: Harper Colophon Books.
- Graham, L. R. (1993). *The ghost of the executed engineer: Technology and the fall of the Soviet Union* (No. 87). Harvard University Press.
- Gregg, M., & Seigworth, G. J. (2010). *The affect theory reader*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Grier, A. (2005). *When computers were human*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton Press
- Grønning, A. (2018). *Digitale samtaler*. Frederiksberg: Samfundslitteratur.
- Grosz, E. (1995). *Space, time and perversion: Essays on the politics of bodies*. New York, NY: Taylor & Francis.
- Gunkel, D. J. (2016). *Of remixology*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Haddon, L. (2006). The contribution of domestication research. *The Information Society*, 22, 195–204. Retrieved from <https://www.hiig.de/en/sharing-from-ploughshares-to-file-sharing-and-beyond/>
- Hakim-Fernández, N. (2017). An experimental autoethnography of mobile freelancing. *Digital Culture and Society*, 3(2). <https://doi.org/10.14361/dcs-2017-0215>
- Hamilton, C. (2019). Popular music, digital technologies and data analysis: New methods and questions. *Convergence*, 25(2), 225–240. doi:10.1177/1354856519831127

- Hammersley, M., & Atkinson, P. (1995). *Ethnography: Principles in practice* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Haraway, D. (1991). *Simians, cyborgs and women: The reinvention of nature*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Hardt, M., & Negri, A. (2000). *Empire*. London, UK: Harvard University Press.
- Harrison, S., & Dourish, P. (1996). Re-place-ing space: The roles of place and space in collaborative systems. In *Proceedings of CSCW '96*. Retrieved from <http://www.dourish.com/publications/1996/cscw96-place.pdf>
- Hathaway, J. (2017, February 21). The rare Pepe economy is real, and there's serious money behind it. *The Daily Dot*. Retrieved from <https://www.dailydot.com/unclick/rare-pepe-frog-meme-economy/>.
- Hayles, K. (1999). *How we became posthuman: Virtual bodies in cybernetics, literature, and informatics*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Hayles, K. (2002). *Writing machines*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Helmond, A. (2015). The platformization of the web: Making web data platform ready. *Social Media + Society*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305115603080>
- Hennion, A. (2001). Music lovers: Taste as performance. *Theory, Culture & Society*, 18(5), 1–22. doi:10.1177/02632760122051940
- Hepp, A., Hjarvard, S., & Lundby, K. (2015). Mediatization: Theorizing the interplay between media, culture and society. *Media, Culture & Society*, 37(2), 314–324. doi:10.1177/0163443715573835
- Hine, C. (2000). *Virtual ethnography*. London, UK: Sage.
- Hine, C. (2015). *Ethnography for the internet: Embedded, embodied and everyday*. New York, NY: Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Hines, S. (2007). *TransForming gender: Transgender practices of identity, intimacy and care*. Bristol, UK: Policy Press.
- Hirsch, T., & Lee, J. S. (2018). Understanding the complexities of transnational family language policy. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development*, 1–13.
- Hodkinson, P., & Lincoln, S. (2008). Online journals as virtual bedrooms: Young people, identity and personal space. *YOUNG*, 16(1), 27–46.
- Hogan, B. (2010). The presentation of self in the age of social media: Distinguishing performances and exhibitions online. *Bulletin of Science, Technology & Society*, 30(6), 377–386. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0270467610385893>
- Hookway, N. (2008). Entering the blogosphere: Some strategies for using blogs in social research. *Qualitative Research*, 8(1), 91–113.
- Horowitz, A. (2013, April 29). In tech we trust? A debate with Peter Thiel and Marc Andreessen. Retrieved October 10, 2017, from <http://a16z.com/2013/04/29/in-tech-we-trust-a-debate-with-peter-thiel-and-marc-andreessen/>
- Horst, H. A., & Miller, D. (2013). *Digital anthropology*. London, UK: Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Hutchby, I. (2001a). Technologies, texts and affordances. *Sociology*, 35(2), 441–456.
- Hutchby, I. (2001b). *Conversation and technology: From the telephone to the Internet*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Ingold, T. (2013). *Making: Anthropology, archaeology, Art and architecture*. London: Routledge.
- International Digital Economy and Society Index. (2018, November 29). Retrieved July 22, 2019, from [https://ec.europa.eu/knowledge4policy/publication/international-digital-economy-society-index-2018\\_en](https://ec.europa.eu/knowledge4policy/publication/international-digital-economy-society-index-2018_en)
- Introna, L. D., & Nissenbaum, H. (2000). Shaping the web: Why the politics of search engines matters. *The Information Society*, 16, 69–185.

- Jamet, D. (2010). What do Internet metaphors reveal about the Internet? *Metaphork*, 18, 7. Retrieved from <https://www.metaphorik.de/fr/book/export/html/272>
- Jenkins, H. (1992). *Textual poachers: Television fans and participatory culture*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Jensen, J. L. (2003). Public spheres on the Internet: Anarchic or government-sponsored—A comparison. *Scandinavian Political Studies*, 26(4), 349–374.
- John, N. (2017). Sharing—From ploughshares to file sharing and beyond. *Digital Society Blog*. Retrieved August 30, from <https://www.hiig.de/en/sharing-from-ploughshares-to-file-sharing-and-beyond/>
- Johnston, L. (2015). Gender and sexuality 1: Genderqueer geographies? *Progress in Human Geography*, 1, 1–11.
- Johnston, R. (2009). Salvation or destruction: Metaphors of the Internet. *First Monday*, 14(4). doi:10.5210/fm.v14i4.2370
- Jurgenson, N. (2012). When atoms meet bits: Social media, the mobile web and augmented revolution. *Future Internet*, 4(1), 83–91.
- Kasket, E. (2012). Continuing bonds in the age of social networking: Facebook as a modern-day medium. *Bereavement Care*, 31(2), 62–69. doi:10.1080/02682621.2012.710493
- Kasket, E. (2019). *All the ghosts in the machine. Illusions of immortality in the digital age*. London, UK: Robinson.
- Katzenbach, C., & Larsson, S. (2017). Imagining the digital society—Metaphors from the past and present. *Digital Society Blog*. Retrieved August 30, from <https://www.hiig.de/en/imagining-the-digital-society-metaphors-from-the-past-and-present/>
- Kaye, J. J. (2006). I just clicked to say I love you: Rich evaluations of minima communication [PDF File]. Extended Abstracts Proceedings of the 2006 Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, CHI 2006, Montréal, QC, April 22–27, 2006. Retrieved from <http://alumni.media.mit.edu/~jofish/writing/kaye-less-is-more8.pdf>
- Khan, I. (2015, April 12). 4chan's Pepe the Frog is bigger than ever—And his creator feels good, man. *The Daily Dot*. Retrieved from <https://www.dailydot.com/unclick/4chan-pepe-the-frog-renaissance/>
- Kharkhordin, O., Bychkova, O., Gladarev, B., Cinman, Zh. (2019). *Fantasticheskie Miry Rossijskogo Haj-Teka [shi-fi worlds of Russian high-tech]*. Moskva: EUSP Press
- Kiberd, R. (2015, April 9). 4chan's frog went mainstream, so they tried to kill it. *Vice's Motherboard*. Retrieved from [https://motherboard.vice.com/en\\_us/article/vvbjbx/4chans-frog-meme-went-mainstream-so-they-tried-to-kill-it](https://motherboard.vice.com/en_us/article/vvbjbx/4chans-frog-meme-went-mainstream-so-they-tried-to-kill-it).
- Kiel, P. (2016). Dead online: Practices of post-mortem digital interaction. *Selected Papers of Internet Research 16: The 16th Annual Meeting of the Association of Internet Researchers*. Retrieved from <https://journals.uic.edu/ojs/index.php/spir/article/download/8528/6795>
- Kittler, K. (1988). Fiktion und Simulation. In Arts Electronica (Ed.), *Philosophien der neuen Technologie* (pp. 57–80). Berlin.
- Konradova, N., Schmidt, H., & Teubener, K. (Eds.). (2006). *Control+ shift: Public and private usages of the Russian internet*. BoD—Books on Demand.
- Kreiss, D. (2016). Social media did not give us Trump and it is not weakening democracy. *Culture Digitally*. Retrieved from [http://culturedigitally.org/2016/11/social\\_media\\_trump/](http://culturedigitally.org/2016/11/social_media_trump/)
- Kukuljin, I. (2017). Periodika dlja ITR: sovetskie nauchno-populjarnye zhurnaly i modelirovanie interesov pozdnesovetskoj nauchno-tehnicheskoi intelligencii [Periodicals for engineers and technicians: Soviet popular science journals and modeling interests of the late Soviet scientific and technical intelligentsia]. *Novoe Literaturnoe Obozrenie*, 3, 61–85.

- Kumar, P., & Schoenebeck, S. (2015). The Modern Day Baby Book: Enacting Good Mothering and Stewarding Privacy on Facebook. *Proceedings of the 18th ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work & Social Computing*, pp. 1302–1312. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2675133.2675149>
- Kuznetsov, S. (2004). *Oshchupyyvaja slona. Zametki po istorii russkogo interneta [Feeling the elephant. Notes on the history of the Russian Internet]*. Moskva: Novoe literaturnoe obozrenie.
- Laing, R. D. (1969). *Self and others*. London, UK: Tavistock.
- Lakoff, G., & Johnson, M. (1980). *Metaphors we live by*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Lamarre, T. (2018). *The anime ecology: A genealogy of television, animation, and game Media*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Larsen, J., & Sandbye, M. (Eds.). (2014). *Digital snaps. The new face of photography*. New York, NY: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Latour, B. (1991). Technology is society made durable. *The Sociological Review*, 38(1), 103–131.
- Lauer, C. (2016). *Intimacy: A dialectical study*. London, UK and New York, NY: Bloomsbury Academic.
- Lefebvre, H. (1991). *The production of space*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Lehdonvirta, V. (2016). Algorithms that divide and unite: Delocalisation, identity and collective action in ‘microwork’. In J. Flecker (Ed.), *Space, place and global digital work* (pp. 53–80). London, UK: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Lessig, L. (2008). *Remix: Making Art commerce thrive in the hybrid economy*. New York, NY: Penguin.
- Lévi-Strauss, C. (1962). *The savage mind*. London, UK: Weidenfeld and Nicolson.
- Lewis, J. (1991). *The ideological octopus*. London, UK: Routledge Press.
- Liegl, M. (2014). Nomadcity and the care of place—On the aesthetic and affective organization of space in freelance creative work. *Computer Supported Cooperative Work: CSCW: An International Journal*, 23(2), 163–183. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10606-014-9198-x>
- Lipman, S. (2012). Teens waiting to get drivers’ licenses, prefer public transport. *CSMonitor.com*. Retrieved from <https://www.csmonitor.com/The-Culture/Family/Modern-Parent-hood/2012/0712/Teens-waiting-to-get-drivers-licenses-prefer-public-transport>
- Liu, A. (2016). *Drafts for against the cultural singularity* (book in progress) [Online]. Retrieved July 26, 2019, from <http://liu.english.ucsb.edu/drafts-for-against-the-cultural-singularity>, doi:10.21972/G2B663
- Liu, X. (2018). The travel of an iPhone: Ineluctable connectivity, networked precarity, and postsocialist politics. *Social Identities*, 24(2), 255–270.
- Lingel, J. (2017). Networked field studies: Comparative inquiry and online communities. *Social Media + Society*, 3, 7, <https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305117743139>
- Livingstone, S. (2008). Taking risky opportunities in youthful content creation: teenagers’ use of social networking sites for intimacy, privacy and self-expression. *New Media & Society*, 10(3), 393–411. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444808089415>
- Llentirb, N. (2016, July 27). How often does the picture of a home get updated? *Google Maps & Earth Help Forum* [online forum post]. Retrieved from [https://productforums.google.com/forum/#!topic/maps/\\_OyoDTfCAZU;context-place=forum/maps](https://productforums.google.com/forum/#!topic/maps/_OyoDTfCAZU;context-place=forum/maps)
- Lovink, G. (2011). *Networks without A cause: A critique of social Media*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Lynch, M. P. (2016). *The Internet of us: Knowing more and understanding less in the age of big data*. New York, NY: WW Norton.
- MacCormac, E. R. (1985). *A cognitive theory of metaphor*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- MacKinnon, R. C. (1995). Searching for the Leviathan in usenet. In S. G. Jones (Ed.), *Cybersociety: Computer-mediated communication and community* (pp. 112–137). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

- Maddox, A., Barratt, M. J., Allen, M., & Lenton, S. (2016). Constructive activism in the dark web: Cryptomarkets and illicit drugs in the digital 'demimonde'. *Information, Communication & Society*, 19(1), 111–126.
- Madianou, M., & Miller, D. (2012). Polymedia: Towards a new theory of digital media in interpersonal communication. *International Journal of Cultural Studies*, 16(2), 169–187.
- Magaudda, P. (2011). When materiality 'bites back': Digital music consumption practices in the age of dematerialization. *Journal of Consumer Culture*, 11(1), 15–36. doi:10.1177/1469540510390499
- Malinowski, B. (1923). The problem of meaning in primitive languages. In C. K. Ogden & I. A. Richards (Eds.) (1965) *The meaning of meaning. A study of the influence of language upon thought and of the science of symbolism* (pp. 296–336). London, UK: Routledge and Kegan Paul.
- Mannheim, K. (1955 [1936]). *Ideology and Utopia: An introduction to the sociology of knowledge*. San Diego, CA and New York, NY: Harcourt.
- Mannheim, K. (1982). *Structures of thinking*. London, UK: Routledge & Kegan Paul.
- Manovich, L. (2001). *The language of new Media*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Markham, A. N. (1998). *Life online: Researching real experience in virtual space*. Walnut Creek, CA: Alta Mira.
- Markham, A. N. (2003). Metaphorically shaping our understanding of the Internet: Tool, place, way of being. Retrieved from <http://annetmarkham.com/writing/MarkhamTPWwebversion.html>
- Markham, A. N. (2004). Internet as research context. In C. Seale, J. Gubrium, D. Silverman, & G. Gobo (Eds.), *Qualitative research practice* (pp. 358–374). London, UK: Sage.
- Markham, A. N. (2005). The methods, politics, and ethics of representation in online ethnography. In N. Denzin & Y. Lincoln (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of qualitative research* (pp. 793–820) Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Markham, A. N. (2013a). Remix cultures, remix methods: Reframing qualitative inquiry for social media contexts. In N. Denzin & M. Giardina (Eds.), *Global dimensions of qualitative inquiry* (pp. 63–81). Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press.
- Markham, A. N. (2013b). The dramaturgy of digital experience. In C. Edgley (Ed.), *The drama of social life: A dramaturgical handbook* (pp. 279–294). Farnham: Ashgate.
- Markham, A. N., & Stavrova, S. (2016). Internet/digital research. In D. Silverman (Ed.), *Qualitative research* (pp. 229–244). London, UK: Sage.
- Marwick, A. E. (2013). *Status update: Celebrity, publicity, and branding in the social media age*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Marwick, A. E., & boyd, d. (2010). I tweet honestly, I tweet passionately: Twitter users, context collapse, and the imagined audience. *New Media & Society*, 13(1), 114–133. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444810365313>
- McGrath, W. (2015, September 22). What are those kids doing with that enormous gun? *The Atlantic*. Retrieved October 23, 2019, from <https://www.theatlantic.com/technology/archive/2015/09/what-are-those-kids-doing-with-that-enormous-gun/405769/>
- McLuhan, M., & Quentin, F. (1967/2007). *The medium is the message*. New York, NY: Random House.
- McVeigh-Schultz, J., & Baym, N. K. (2015). Thinking of you: Vernacular affordance in the context of the microsocial relationship app, couple. *Social Media + Society*, 1(2), 1–13. <http://doi.org/10.1177/2056305115604649>
- Meyrowitz, J. (1985). *No sense of place: The impact of electronic media on social behavior*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Meyrowitz, J. (1998). Multiple media literacies. *Journal of Communication*, 48(1), 96–108. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.1998.tb02740.x>

- Miller, D. (2011). Designing ourselves. In A. J. Clarke (Ed.), *Design anthropology: Object culture in the 21st century*. Wien: Springer.
- Miller, D., & Sinanan, J. (2014). *Webcam*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Milner, R. M. (2016). *The world made meme: Public conversations and participatory media*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Mina, A. X. (2014). Batman, Pandaman, and the Blind Man: A case study in social change memes and Internet censorship in China. *Journal of Visual Culture*, 13(3), 359–375.
- Molotch, H. (2011). Objects in sociology. In A. J. Clarke (Ed.), *Design anthropology: Object culture in the 21st Century*. Wien: Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
- Nakamura, L. (2001). Race in/for cyberspace: Identity tourism and racial passing on the Internet. *Reading Digital Culture*, 226–235.
- Nardi, B. (2009). *My life as a night owl priest: An anthropological account of world of warcraft*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Nash, C. J. (2010). Trans geographies, embodiment and experience. *Gender, Place & Culture*, 17(5), 579–595. doi:10.1080/0966369x.2010.503112
- Nash, C. J., & Bain, A. (2007). 'Reclaiming raunch'? Spatializing queer identities at Toronto women's bathhouse events. *Social & Cultural Geography*, 8(1), 47–62. doi:10.1080/14649360701251809
- Negroponte, N. (1995). *Being digital*. New York, NY: Vintage Books.
- Negus, K. (1997). *Popular music in theory: An introduction*. Middletown, CT: Wesleyan University Press.
- Noble, S. U. (2018). *Algorithms of oppression: How search engines reinforce racism*. New York: NYU Press.
- Norman, D. (1988). *The psychology of everyday things*. New York, NY: Basic Books.
- Osborne, S. (2017, May 8). Pepe is dead: Meme creator kills off controversial frog after it was hijacked by white supremacists. *The Independent*. Retrieved from <http://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/americas/us-politics/pepe-frog-dead-meme-matt-furie-kills-alt-right-image-white-supremacists-hijacked-a7723586.html>
- Oslon, M. (2011). Post-Internet. *Foam Magazine*.
- Owens, L., & Palmer, L. K. (2003). Making the news: Anarchist counter-public relations on the World Wide Web. *Critical Studies in Media Communication*, 20(4), 335–361.
- Papacharissi, Z. (2004). Democracy online: Civility, politeness, and the democratic potential of online political discussion groups. *New Media & Society*, 6(2), 259–283.
- Papacharissi, Z. (2011). *A networked self: identity, community and culture on social network sites*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Pearce, K. E., Carr, C. T., Vitak, J., & Hayes, R. A. (2018, May). *Conceptualizing socially mediated visibility*. Paper presented at the 68th International Communication Association Conference, Prague, Czech Republic.
- Pensoneau-Conway, S. L., & Toyosaki, S. (2011). Automethodology: Tracing a home for praxis-oriented ethnography. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 10(4), 378–400.
- Peters, B. (2016). *How not to network a nation: The uneasy history of the Soviet internet*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Phillips, W., & Milner, R. M. (2017). *The ambivalent Internet: Mischief, oddity, and antagonism online*. Oxford: Polity Press.
- Pigg, S. (2014). Emplacing mobile composing habits: A study of academic writing in networked social spaces. *College Composition and Communication*, 66(2), 250–270.
- Pignetti, D. (2005, September 2). Map Resource [Web log post]. Retrieved from <http://www.dai-sypignetti.com/2005/09/02/map-resource/>

- Pignetti, D. (2007). The “I” of the storm: How hurricane Katrina changed my life and my methodology. *Computers and Composition Online*. Retrieved from <http://cconlinejournal.org/Pignetti/title.html>
- Pignetti, D. (2010, March 3). Google Map Time Machine [Web log post]. Retrieved from <http://www.daisypignetti.com/2010/03/03/google-map-time-machine/>
- Pink, S., Ardevol, E., & Lanzeni, D. (2016). *Digital Materialities*. London, UK: Bloomsbury Press.
- Polonski, V. (2016, August 5). Is social media destroying democracy? *Newsweek*. Retrieved from <http://www.newsweek.com/social-media-destroying-democracy-487483>
- Postill, J., & Pink, S. (2012). Social media ethnography: The digital researcher in a messy web. *Media International Australia*, 145(1), 123–134.
- Postman, N. (1992). *Technopoly: The surrender of culture to technology*. New York, NY: Knopf.
- Potter, J., & Wetherell, M. (1987). *Discourse and social psychology: Beyond attitudes and behaviour*. New York, NY: Sage.
- Prey, R. (2015). Henri Lefebvre and the production of music streaming spaces. *Sociologica*, 9(3), 1–22. doi:10.2383/82481
- Prieto-Blanco, P. (2010). Family photography as a phatic construction. *Networking Knowledge: Journal of the MeCCSA PG Network*, 3(2). Retrieved September 14, 2017, from <http://ojs.meccsa.org.uk/index.php/netknow/article/download/48/48>
- Prieto-Blanco, P. (2015). Visuelles Tele-Cocooning im Ausland. Eine Autoethnographie. *Ultrashort Reframed*, 5, 50–54.
- Prieto-Blanco, P. (2016a). (Digital) photography, experience and space in transnational families. A case study of Spanish-Irish families living in Ireland. In A. Lehmuskallio & E. Gómez Cruz (Eds.), *Digital Photography and Everyday Life* (pp. 122–140). London, UK: Routledge.
- Prieto-Blanco, P. (2016b). *Transnational (dis)affect in the Digital Age. Photographic practices of Irish-Spanish families living in Ireland* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). National University of Ireland, Galway, Republic of Ireland.
- Radner, J., & Lanser, S. (1993). Strategies of coding in women’s cultures. In J. Radner (Ed.), *Feminist messages: Coding in women’s folk culture* (pp. 1–30). Champaign: University of Illinois Press.
- Rainie, L. (2017, February 9). Digital divides—Feeding America. Retrieved March 1, 2017, from Pew Research Center: Internet, Science & Tech website: <http://www.pewinternet.org/2017/02/09/digital-divides-feeding-america/>
- Raptis, D., Kjeldskov, J., Skov, M. B., & Paay, J. (2014). What is a digital ecology? Theoretical foundations and a unified definition. *Australian Journal of Intelligent Information Processing Systems*, 12(4).
- Raun, T. (2017). ‘Talking about his dead child, again!’: Emotional self-management in relation to online mourning. *First Monday*, 22(1). doi:10.5210/fm.v22i11.7810
- Raun, T. (2018). Connecting with the dead: Vernacular practices of mourning through photo-sharing on Facebook. In R. Andreassen, M. N. Petersen, K. Harrison, & T. Raun (Eds.), *Mediated intimacies, connectivities, relationalities and proximities* (pp. 193–207). London, UK: Routledge.
- Redström, J., & Wiltse, H. (2019). *Changing things: The future of objects in a Digital World*. London, UK: Bloomsbury.
- Rettberg, S., Lehmuskallio, A., Karhio, A., Cheng-Syun Tang, A., Zacher Sørensen, M.-M., & Solberg, R. (2019). *We were always right all along, or, how we learned to stop worrying and love the machine, 2068*. Unpublished manuscript.
- Rice, C. (2005, September 4). My New Orleans. *Salon*. Retrieved from [http://www.salon.com/2005/09/04/rice\\_20/](http://www.salon.com/2005/09/04/rice_20/)

- Rieder, B. (2016). Big data and the paradox of diversity. *Digital Culture & Society*, 2(2), 39–54. doi:10.14361/dcs-2016-0204
- Roberts, S. (2019). *Behind the screen: Content moderation in the shadows of social media*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Rose, C. (2005, December 6). The storm that keep killing. *The Times-Picayune | NOLA.Com*. Retrieved from [http://www.nola.com/rose/index.ssf/2005/12/the\\_storm\\_that\\_keeps\\_killing.html](http://www.nola.com/rose/index.ssf/2005/12/the_storm_that_keeps_killing.html)
- Ruud, E. (1997). Music and identity. *Nordic Journal of Music Therapy*, 6(1), 3–13. doi:10.1080/08098139709477889
- RX Fogarty [Robert]. (2008, October 13). Be a New Orleanian. wherever you are [Web log post]. Retrieved from <http://post-katrinaneworleans.blogspot.com/2008/10/be-new-orleanian-whenever-you-are.html>
- Samarajiva, R. (2012). Facebook = Internet? LIRNEasia [blogpost]. Retrieved August 1, 2019, from <https://lirneasia.net/2012/05/facebook-internet/>
- Schorr, S. (2019). *Saving screens: Temporary tattoos and other methods* [Artwork]. United States. Retrieved from [http://www.sarahschorr.com/Artist.asp?ArtistID=5380&Akey=Y2PRX-C5P&ajx=1#!Group1\\_Pf184212](http://www.sarahschorr.com/Artist.asp?ArtistID=5380&Akey=Y2PRX-C5P&ajx=1#!Group1_Pf184212)
- Schreiber, M. (2016). Amplification and heterogeneity: Seniors and digital photographic practices. In A. Lehmuskallio & E. Gomez Cruz (Eds.), *Digital photography and everyday life. Empirical studies on material visual practices* (pp. 52–69). London, UK: Routledge.
- Schreiber, M. (2017). *Digitale Bildpraktiken. Handlungsdimensionen visueller vernetzter Kommunikation* (Unpublished PhD dissertation). University of Vienna, Austria.
- Schulte, S. R. (2013). *Cached: Decoding the Internet in global popular culture*. New York: NYU Press.
- Sconce, J. (2000). *Haunted media: Electronic presence from telegraphy to television*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Shaginyan, M. (1960). *Mess-mend or Yankee in Petrograd*. Novel-tale. M: Detgiz. Cited by Degot' (2000).
- Simondon, G. (2011). On the mode of existence of technical objects. *Deleuze Studies*, 5(3), 407–424.
- Solnit, R., & Snedeker, R. (2013). *Unfathomable city: A New Orleans Atlas*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Soon, W. (2018). *Unerasable Images* [Artwork]. Hong Kong. Retrieved from <http://siusoon.net/unerasable-images/>
- Spinuzzi, C. (2012). Working alone, together: Coworking as emergent collaborative activity. *Journal of Business and Technical Communication*, 26(4), 399–441. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1050651912444070>
- Stefik, M. (1997). *Internet dreams: Archetypes, myths, and metaphors*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Stephens, M. (1998). *The rise of the image, the fall of the word*. Cambridge: Oxford University Press.
- Stephenson, N. (1992). *Snow crash*. New York, NY: Bantam Books
- Stevens, R. (1996). *Understanding the self*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Stoller, S. (2000). Reflections on feminist Merleau-Ponty skepticism. *Hypatia: A Journal of Feminist Philosophy*, 15(1), 175–182. doi:10.2979/hyp.2000.15.1.175
- Stopera, M. (2015). How I became a minor celebrity in China (After my stolen phone ended up there). *Buzzfeed*. Retrieved October 15, 2019, from <https://www.buzzfeed.com/mjs538/how-i-became-a-minor-celebrity-in-china-after-my>
- Streeter, T. (2017). The Internet as a structure of feeling: 1992–1996. *Internet Histories*, 1(1–2), 79–89. <https://doi.org/10.1080/24701475.2017.1306963>
- Sundén, J. (2003). *Material virtualities*. New York: Peter Lang.

- Tannen, D. (1989 [2007]). *Talking voice: Repetition, dialogue, and imagery in conversational discourse* (2nd ed.). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Tassy, A. (2018, November 30). It-anvendelse i befolkningen—2018. *Statistics Denmark*. Retrieved July 22, 2019, from <https://www.dst.dk/da/Statistik/Publikationer/VisPub?cid=29448#>
- Tatarchenko, K. (2013). *“A house with the window to the west”: The Academgorodok computer center (1958–1993)* (PhD thesis).
- Tatarchenko, K. (2019). “The man with a micro-calculator”: Digital modernity and late Soviet computing practices. In *Exploring the Early Digital* (pp. 179–200). Cham: Springer.
- Thompson, D. (2014). The Shazam effect. *The Atlantic*. Retrieved July 26, 2010, from <https://www.theatlantic.com/magazine/archive/2014/12/the-shazam-effect/382237/>
- Tiidenberg, K. (2015). Odes to heteronormativity—Presentations of femininity in Russian-speaking women’s Instagram accounts. *International Journal of Communication*, 9.
- Tiidenberg, K. (2018a). Visibly ageing femininities: Women’s visual discourses of being over-40 and over-50 on Instagram. *Feminist Media Studies*, 18, 61–76.
- Tiidenberg, K. (2018b). *Selfies, why we love (and hate) them*. Bingley, UK: Emerald.
- Tiidenberg, K., & Baym, N. K. (2017, January–March). Learn it, buy it, work it: Intensive pregnancy on Instagram. *Social Media + Society*.
- Tiidenberg, K., & Whelan, A. (2019). “Not like that, not for that, not by them” social media affordances for critique. *Communication and Critical/Cultural Studies*, 16(2), 83–102.
- Tiidenberg, K., Markham, A. N., Pereira, G., Rehder, M., Sommer, J., Dremljuga, R., & Dougherty, M. (2017). “I’m an addict” and other sensemaking devices: A discourse analysis of self-reflections of lived experience on social media. Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Social Media & Society. Article No. 21. Available: <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?doid=3097286.3097307>
- Townley, C., & Parsell, M. (2006). Cyber disobedience: Gandhian cyberpunks. *Scan*, 3(3).
- Treem, J., & Leonardi, P. M. (2012). Social media use in organizations. *Communication Yearbook*, 36, 143–189. Retrieved from <papers3://publication/uuid/C0737AFA-CEEA-4D9A-B85F-355E795F2593>
- Trippi, J. (2008). *The revolution will not be televised: Democracy, the Internet, and the overthrow of everything*. New York, NY: Harper.
- Tuan, Y.-F. (1979). Space and place: Humanistic perspective. In S. Gale & G. Olsson (Eds.), *Philosophy in geography* (pp. 387–427). Dordrecht, Holland: D. Reidel.
- Tuan, Y.-F. (2001). *Space and place: The perspective of experience*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Tufekci, Z. (2015). Algorithmic harms beyond Facebook and Google: Emergent challenges of computational agency. *Colorado Technology Law Journal*, 13, 203–218.
- Turkle, S. (2007). *Evocative objects: Things we think with*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Twitchell, J. B. (1997). *For shame: The loss of common decency in American culture*. London, UK: St. Martin’s Griffin.
- University and College Union (UCU). (2016). *Precarious work in higher education. A snapshot of insecure contracts and institutional attitudes* [PDF file]. Retrieved from [https://www.ucu.org.uk/media/7995/Precarious-work-in-higher-education-a-snapshot-of-insecure-contracts-and-institutional-attitudes-Apr-16/pdf/ucu\\_precariouscontract\\_hereport\\_apr16.pdf](https://www.ucu.org.uk/media/7995/Precarious-work-in-higher-education-a-snapshot-of-insecure-contracts-and-institutional-attitudes-Apr-16/pdf/ucu_precariouscontract_hereport_apr16.pdf)
- Vaisman, C. (2014). ‘The designs industry’: Israeli tween girls play with production and power on blogs. In A. Bennett & B. Robards (Eds.), *Mediated youth cultures: The Internet, belonging and new cultural configurations* (pp. 95–113). Palgrave Macmillan.

- van den Berg, B. (2008). Self, script, and situation: Identity in a world of ICTs. In S. Fischer-Hübner, P. Duquenoy, A. Zuccato, & L. Martucci (Eds.), *The Future of Identity in the Information Society* (Vol. 262, pp. 63–76). Boston, MA: Springer.
- Van Dijck, J. (2013). ‘You have one identity’: Performing the self on Facebook and LinkedIn. *Media, Culture & Society*, 35(2), 199–215. doi:10.1177/0163443712468605
- Van Dijck, J. (2014). Datafication, dataism and dataveillance: Big Data between scientific paradigm and ideology. *Surveillance & Society*, 12(2), 197–208. doi:10.24908/ss.v12i2.477
- van Eijck, K. (2001). Social differentiation in musical taste patterns. *Social Forces*, 79(3), 1163–1185. <https://doi.org/10.1353/sof.2001.0017>
- van Zoonen, L. (2013). From identity to identification: Fixating the fragmented self. *Media, Culture & Society*, 35(1), 44–51. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0163443712464557>
- Villi, M. (2015). Photographs of place in phonespace: Camera phones as a location-aware mobile technology. In A. Lehmuskallio & E. Gomez Cruz (Eds.), *Digital photography and everyday life. Empirical studies on material visual practices* (pp. 107–121). London, UK: Routledge.
- Vivienne, S. (2018). Between fire-fighting and flaming: Collective and personal trans\* and gender-diverse social media. In A. S. Dobson, B. Robards, & C. Nicholas (Eds.), *Digital intimate publics and social media* (pp. 193–209). London, UK: Palgrave Mcmillan.
- Vivienne, S., & Barnett, T. (2017). Curating technologies of memory and affect. *Media International Australia*, 165(1), 14–24. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1329878X17727626>
- Warfield, K., & Hassan-Zarabadi, S. (2018). *Feminist New Materialism in Education*. Milton Park, UK: Taylor & Francis.
- Warschauer, M., & Ames, M. (2010). Can one laptop per child save the world’s poor? *Journal of International Affairs*, 33–51.
- Webster, J., Gibbins, N., Halford, S., & Hracs, B. J. (2016). Towards a theoretical approach for analysing music recommender systems as sociotechnical cultural intermediaries. In *Proceedings of the 8th ACM Conference on Web Science* (pp. 137–145). New York, NY: ACM. doi:10.1145/2908131.2908148
- Weinberg, M. (2017). If you’re happy and you know it: Music engagement and subjective well-being. *Psychology of Music*, 4(2), 257–276.
- Weiser, M. (1991). The computer for the 21st century. *Scientific American*, 265, 94–104. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/scientificamerican0991-94>
- White, P. B., & White, N. R. (2005). Keeping connected: Travelling with the telephone. *Convergence: The International Journal of Research into New Media Technologies*, 11(2).
- Wieck, D. (1978). Anarchist justice. In *Anarchism*, ed. J. R. Pennock and J. W. Chapman. New York: New York University Press, pp. 227–28.
- Wikipedia. (2019). Internet metaphors. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Internet\\_metaphors](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Internet_metaphors)
- Wilchins, R. (2017, June 13). Is Trans Over? [LGBTQI+ News & Commentary]. Retrieved January 31, 2018, from <http://www.advocate.com/transgender/2017/6/13/trans-over>
- Wittkower, D. E. (2016). Principles of anti-discriminatory design. Philosophy Faculty Publications, 28. [https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/philosophy\\_fac\\_pubs/28](https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/philosophy_fac_pubs/28)
- Woolgar, S. (1990). Configuring the user: The case of usability trials. *The Sociological Review*, 38(S1), 58–99.
- Wright, S., & Parchoma, G. (2011). Technologies for learning? An actor-network theory critique of ‘affordances’ in research on mobile learning. *Research in Learning Technology*, 19(3), 247–258.
- Wulff, H. J. (1993). Phatische Gemeinschaft/Phatische Funktion. Letikonzepte einer pragmatischen Theorie des Fernsehens [Phatic community/phatic function. Key concepts of a pragmatological theory of television]. *Montage A/V*, 2(1), 143–163.

- Wyatt, S. (2004). Danger! Metaphors at work in economics, geophysiology, and the Internet. *Science, Technology, & Human Values*, 29(2), 242–261. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0162243903261947>
- Yurchak, A. (2005). *Everything was forever until it was no more: The last Soviet generation*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Zillien, N. (2008). Die (Wieder-)Entdeckung der Medien das Affordanzkonzept in der Mediensoziologie. *Sociologia Internationalis : Internationale Zeitschrift Für Soziologie, Kommunikations—Und Kulturforschung*, 46(2), 161–181.
- Zipes, J. (2013). *The irresistible fairy tale: The cultural and social history of a genre*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.